# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

EDWARD ROSS,	§
PLAINTIFF,	§ § 8
V.	§ No
STATE FARM LLOYDS,	\$ \$ \$ JURY
DEFENDANT.	§

## **DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

Defendant State Farm Lloyds ("State Farm" or "Defendant") files this Notice of Removal:

- 1. On April 15, 2015, Plaintiff Edward Ross ("Plaintiff") filed this lawsuit in Collin County, Texas, naming State Farm as Defendant.
  - 2. The Original Petition was served on State Farm on or about April 16, 2015.
- 3. Defendant files this notice of removal within 30 days of receiving Plaintiff's Original Petition. *See* 28 U.S.C. §1446(b). In addition, this Notice of Removal is being filed within one year of the commencement of this action. *See id.*
- 4. All pleadings, process, orders, and other filings in the state court action are attached to this Notice as required by 28 U.S.C. §1446(a) and Local Rule CV-81(c). Also included is a copy of the civil cover sheet in accordance with Local Rule CV-81(c)(2). The removing party is filing a separate jury demand in accordance with Local Rules CV-38(a) and CV-81(b). A copy of this Notice is concurrently being filed with the state court and served upon the Plaintiff.
- 5. As required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a) and Local Rule CV-81(c), simultaneously with the filing of this notice of removal, attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a copy of the state

court's Docket Sheet, a copy of the state court's Civil Case Information Sheet is attached hereto as Exhibit "B," a copy of Plaintiff's Original Petition is attached hereto as Exhibit "C," a copy of the Affidavit of Jacey Hornecker is attached hereto as Exhibit "D," a copy of the Citation by Mailing to State Farm Lloyds is attached hereto as Exhibit "E," a copy of the Officer's Return by Mailing is attached hereto as Exhibit "F," a copy of printout from the United State Postal Service with signature of recipient is attached hereto as Exhibit "G," a copy of Defendant's Original Answer to Plaintiff's Original Petition is attached hereto as Exhibit "H," the list of Parties to the Case (including status of the case, jury demand, and state court information) is attached hereto as Exhibit "I," and a List of Counsel is attached hereto as Exhibit "J."

6. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §1441(a) because this district and division embrace Collin County, Texas, the place where the removed action has been pending and where the property in dispute is located.

## **Basis for Removal**

7. Removal is proper based on diversity of citizenship under 28 U.S.C. §§1332(a), 1441(a) and 1446.

#### A. Diversity of Citizenship

- 8. Plaintiff is, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a resident and citizen of Texas. See Pl's Original Pet. § II., attached hereto as Exhibit "C."
- 9. Defendant State Farm Lloyds is, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a citizen of the states of Illinois, Colorado and Pennsylvania. State Farm Lloyds is a "Lloyd's Plan" organized under Chapter 941 of the Texas Insurance Code. It consists of an association of underwriters, each of whom, at the time this action was commenced were, and still are, citizens and residents of the states of Illinois, Colorado and Pennsylvania. Therefore, State Farm Lloyds

is a citizen and resident of the states of Illinois, Colorado and Pennsylvania for diversity

purposes. Royal Ins. Co. v. Quinn-L Capital Corp., 3 F.3d 877, 882 (5th Cir. 1993); Massey v.

State Farm Lloyd's Ins. Co., 993 F. Supp. 568, 570 (S.D. Tex. 1998 ("the citizenship of State

Farm Lloyds must be determined solely by the citizenship of its members, or underwriters.");

Rappaport v. State Farm Lloyd's, 1998 WL 249211 (N.D. Tex. 1998) (finding that State Farm

Lloyd's is an unincorporated association whose members are completely diverse with Plaintiff,

and denying remand).

10. Because Plaintiff is a citizen of Texas and Defendant State Farm Lloyds is a

citizen of Illinois, Colorado and Pennsylvania, complete diversity of citizenship exists in this

matter.

В. The Amount in Controversy Exceeds \$75,000.00

11. This is a civil action in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00. In

his complaint, Plaintiff alleges a variety of claims arising from "a claim to Defendant against the

Policy for roof damage and water damage the Property sustained as a result of the wind and

hailstorm." See Pl's Original Pet. § V., ¶ E, attached hereto as Exhibit "C." Specifically, but

without limitation, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant State Farm breached the insurance policy

number 43-BW-T084-4, with a Dwelling Limit of \$169,800.00, a Dwelling Extension Limit of

\$16,980.00, a Personal Property Limit of \$127,350.00, and an Additional Living Expense Limit

of the Actual Loss Sustained, for the property located at 707 Meadow Creek Dr., Allen, Texas

75002-2046 (the property giving rise to the present dispute). See Exhibit "K." Declaration of

Scott L. Rogers, attached hereto and fully incorporated herein as if set out in full. In determining

the amount in controversy, the Court may consider "policy limits ... penalties, statutory

**DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL - PAGE 3** 

damages, and punitive damages." St. Paul Reinsurance Co., Ltd. v. Greenberg, 134 F.3d 1250,

1253 (5th Cir. 1998).

12. On Plaintiff's Civil Case Information Sheet, he has marked that he seeks damages

of "\$100,000 but not more than \$200,000." See Civil Case Information Sheet, attached hereto as

Exhibit "B." Thus, the representation on Plaintiff's Civil Case Information Sheet evidences that

alleged damages exceed the amount in controversy threshold of \$75,000.00. Based on the

allegations set forth in Plaintiff's Original Petition and its Civil Case Information Sheet, the

amount in controversy in this case exceeds the \$75,000.00 jurisdictional requirement

13. Plaintiff's Original Petition also seeks damages for breach of contract, violations

of the Texas Insurance Code, and breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing. Plaintiff asks

to be awarded actual damages, attorney's fees, court costs, treble damages, penalty interest,

exemplary damages, post-judgment interest, and pre-judgment interest. See Pl's Original Pet.

pgs. 7-13. Based on the limits of the underlying insurance policy, Plaintiff's Civil Case

Information Sheet, and the allegations set forth in Plaintiff's Original Petition, the amount in

controversy in this case exceeds the \$75,000.00 jurisdictional requirement.

**Conclusion and Prayer** 

14. All requirements are met for removal under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 and 1441.

Accordingly, Defendant State Farm Lloyds hereby removes this case to this Court for trial and

determination.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Rhonda J. Thompson

Rhonda J. Thompson

Lead Attorney

State Bar No.: 24029862

Scott L. Rogers

State Bar No.: 24064369

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.

700 N. Pearl Street, 25th Floor

Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 871-8200 Facsimile: (214) 871-8209

Email: rthompson@thompsoncoe.com Email: slrogers@thompsoncoe.com

#### **COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT**

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that on the 15th day of May, 2015, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was delivered to the following counsel of record by electronic service and/or facsimile transmission and/or certified mail, return receipt requested:

Jacey Hornecker Speights & Worrich 1350 North Loop 1604 E., Ste. 104 San Antonio, TX 78232 Counsel for Plaintiff

/s/ Rhonda J. Thompson

Rhonda J. Thompson